

REMARKS

This amendment is responsive to the outstanding Office Action, and is accompanied by a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) and RCE fee, under 37 CFR 1.114. Entry of the foregoing amendment and consideration of the amendment is therefore respectfully requested.

Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 112

The Office Action has rejected claims 1-6, 9 and 11-18 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventors, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The Office Action has also rejected claims 1-6, 9 and 11-18 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)

The Office Action has also rejected claims 1-6, 9 and 11-18 as obvious over U.S. Patent No. 6,587,845 by Braunheim.

Applicants have amended the specification to delete the embedded hyperlinks. Applicants have also cancelled all claims and added new claims 19-29. As such, the rejections of the claims in the Office Action dated January 18, 2005 are now moot. Support for the amendment can be found in paragraphs 18, 21, 24-31, 33, 39, 40, 45, and 47-50. No new matter has been added.

In view of the above, Applicants respectfully request allowance of independent claim 19 and subsequently claims 20-29 which depend therefrom. If the Examiner

believes that a telephone conference with Applicants' representative might expedite prosecution of the application, he is cordially invited to call at the number listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 4/18/05

By: Heidi Eisenhut
Heidi L. Eisenhut
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 46,812

GORDON & REES LLP
101 West Broadway, Suite 1600
San Diego, CA 92101
Telephone: (619) 696-6700
Facsimile: (619) 696-7124

Docket No. BUCSD 1025958